

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,592	04/21/2004	Michael D. Goodner	P18237	6739
7590 12/30/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael A. Be		GURLEY, LYNNE ANN		
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR &	& ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2812	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/829,592	GOODNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 C	October 2005.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 20-23</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	· ·				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	1				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ived in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ved			
Occ the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the continue copies not recei	Jul Hal			
		I VANNE A CHIPLEY			
		LYNNE A. GURLEY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER			
Attachment(s)	_	TC 2800, AU 2812			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
<ul> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/05.</li> </ul>		I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 10/6/05.

Currently, claims 1-9 and 20-23 are pending.

## Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quek et al. (US 6,252,290, dated 6/26/01 in the IDS submitted 7/21/05).
- 4. Quek shows the method as claimed in figures 1-8 and corresponding text, as forming a first via dielectric layer 12 on a substrate 10; patterning the first via dielectric layer to form a via through the first via dielectric layer 12a; forming a photosensitive trench dielectric layer 14 on the first via dielectric layer; patterning the photosensitive trench dielectric layer to form a trench

Art Unit: 2812

through the photosensitive trench dielectric layer 14a; depositing a conductive material 22 in the via and the trench; forming a top layer 42 on the photosensitive trench layer; and decomposing, at least partially, the photosensitive trench dielectric layer, decomposed material from the photosensitive trench dielectric layer passing through the top layer (figs. 5-6).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/829,592 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quek et al. (US 6,252,290, dated 6/26/01 in the IDS submitted 7/21/05).

Quek shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the previous paragraphs.

Quek lacks anticipation only in not teaching that the decomposition comprises heating the photosensitive trench dielectric layer between 180-400 degrees; and that a conductor seed layer and cap layer are formed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have had the decomposition comprise heating the photosensitive trench dielectric layer between 180-400 degrees C; and to have formed a conductor seed layer and cap layer, in the method of Quek, with the motivation that the photosensitive trench layer is already thermally cured to about 100 degrees C to drive out solvents, which indicates that there is some thermal decomposition by evaporation of the solvent, which would only increase at temperatures of about 180; and, with the motivation that a seed layer and cap layer are conventional in interconnect fabrication, increasing reliability, adhesion and passivation in the interconnect.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-3, filed 10/6/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) s 1-9 and 20-23 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Art Unit: 2812

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Quek (US 6,252,290).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2812

LAG December 27, 2005